TE 13 TOM SEE STORE STORE STORE STORE THAT BETTER STORE STOR

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 17-20 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Antalfy et al. The applicant disagrees, especially in view of the amendments made herein. As amended, claim 17 expressly requires that the head moves sideways relative to the outlet while it pivots about the first pivot. Such element is not taught by the '524 reference. Consequently, claims 17 and 18-20 by virtue of their dependence are not anticipated by Antalfy et al.

In view of the present amendments and arguments, the applicant believes that all claims are now in condition for allowance. Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

RUTAN & TUCKER

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (714) 641-5100